

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/403,625	02/07/00	DEBYSER		W	VANM131.001A	
020995 HM22/1106				EXAMINER		
' KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SIXTEENTH FLOOR				FRONDA,C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT BE	ACH CA 9266	0 .		1652 DATE MAILED:	11/06/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/403,625

Applicant(s)

Debyser et al.

Examiner

Christian L. Fronda

Art Unit 1652

						Ш
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et with th	ne corres		
	f <mark>or Reply</mark> ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EVEIDE	2	NAONITI	VC) FROM	
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	MONTH	(5) FROM	
af	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	cation.			,	
be	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.					
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication.	period will apply and	d will exp	oire SIX (6	6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th	ıis
- Any i	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the e mailing date of this	applicati s commu	on to bec	ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status	,					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This ac	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u>		. ,,,,	is/are	pending in the application.	
4	(a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>1-5 and 14-33</u>			is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗌	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>6-13</u>				is/are rejected.	
7) 🗌	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are s	subject t	o restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by t	he Exan	niner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	a) 🗆 ap	proved	b) \square disapproved.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 L	J.S.C. §	119(a)-	-(d).	
a) 🗴	All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. 😡 Certified copies of the priority documents hav					
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Burese the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.	.2(a)).		this National Stage	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				e).	
Attachm	ent(s)					
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-	413) Paper (No(s).	
16) 🔲 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Inform				
17) 💢 Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)6	20) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/403,625 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group V, claims 6-13, in the **RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT** dated September 28, 2001 (Paper No. 8) is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicant's election with traverse of the species of SEQ ID NO: 1 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the claimed xylanase inhibitor contains both SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2 and that both amino acid sequences should be examined together.

Upon further consideration SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2 will both be examined. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-5 and 14-47 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

2. Claims 6-13 and amino acid sequences SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2 are under consideration in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed toward non-statutory subject matter.

In the absence of the hand of man, naturally occurring proteins and/or nucleic acids are considered non-statutory subject matter. Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 206 USPQ 193 (1980). This rejection may be overcome by amending the claims to contain wording such as "An isolated and purified proteinic or glycoproteinic inhibitor of xylanase".

Application/Control Number: 09/403,625 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st Paragraph

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are directed to (1) any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor having any structure or amino acid sequence and (2) any xylanase inhibitor comprising any amino acid sequence that is at least 70% or 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2. The specification, however, only provides a single representative species encompassed by these claims: an isolated and purified proteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2. There is no disclosure of any particular structure to function/activity relationship in the single disclosed species. The specification also fails to describe additional representative species of these polynucleotides by any identifying structural characteristics or properties other than inhibiting xylanase for which no predictability of structure is apparent. Given this lack of additional representative species as encompassed by the claims, Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention. Claims 7-13 which depend from claim 6 are also rejected because they do not correct the defect of claim 6.

7. Claims 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated and purified proteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2, does not reasonably provide enablement for (1) any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor having any structure or amino acid sequence, (2) any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising any amino acid sequence that is at least 70% or 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2, or (3) any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized In re Wands [858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)]. The Wands factors

Art Unit: 1652

are: (a) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (b) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (c) the presence or absence of working example, (d) the nature of the invention, (e) the state of the prior art, (f) the relative skill of those in the art, (g) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (h) the breadth of the claim.

The nature and breadth of the claims encompass (1) any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor having any structure or amino acid sequence, (2) any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising any amino acid sequence that is at least 70% or 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2, or (3) any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2. The specification provides guidance and examples for making an isolated and purified proteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 2. While molecular biological techniques and genetic manipulation techniques are known in the prior art and the skill of the artisan are well developed, knowledge regarding the specific biological source of any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor having any structure or amino acid sequence, any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising any amino acid sequence that is at least 70% or 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2, or any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2 is lacking. Furthermore, knowledge regarding the specific amino acid sequence of any proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor comprising only SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2, or the amino acid residues to substitute, delete, insert, or combinations thereof in SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO:2 to make a protein or glycoprotein which is still able to inhibit xylanase enzyme activity and comprises an amino acid sequence that is at least 70% or 85% identical to SEO ID NO: 1 or SEO ID NO: 2 is lacking. Thus, searching for the biological source of the claimed proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor and the specific amino acid residues to change in SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2 to make a protein or glycoprotein which is still able to inhibit xylanase enzyme activity is well outside the realm of routine experimentation and predictability in the art of success is extremely low.

The amount of experimentation to determine the biological source of the claimed proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor or the specific amino acid residues to change in SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2 to make a protein or glycoprotein which is still able to inhibit xylanase enzyme activity is enormous. Such experimentation entails searching for an organism out of a vast number of organisms which contains proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor or inserting, deleting, substituting, or combinations thereof amino acid residues in SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2 and determining whether the protein or glycoprotein is still able to inhibit xylanase enzyme activity and has an amino acid sequence that is at least 70% or 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2. Since routine experimentation does not include screening vast numbers of organisms for a specific organism which contains proteinic or glycoproteinic xylanase inhibitor or inserting, deleting, or substituting amino acids in SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID

Application/Control Number: 09/403,625 Page 5

Art Unit: 1652

NO: 2 and screening for proteins which still inhibit xylanase activity and comprises an amino acid sequence that is at least 70% or 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2, where the expectation of obtaining a desired organism containing the claimed xylanase inhibitor and the specific amino acid residues to change in SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2 is unpredictable, the Examiner finds that one skilled in the art would require additional guidance, such as information regarding the specific organism which contains the claimed xylanase inhibitor or the specific amino acid residues to change in SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO:2 to make a protein which is still able to inhibit xylanase activity and comprises an amino acid sequence that is at least 70% or 85% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 2. Without such a guidance, the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is undue. Claims 7-13 which depend from claim 6 are also rejected because they do not correct the defect of claim 6.

Conclusion

- 8. No claim is allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L. Fronda whose telephone number is (703)305-1252. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703)308-3804. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)308-0294. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

CLF

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1900